

Hi Patrick, Paul,

Based on our recent discussions, my proposal is below. Thank you leading a productive discussion and for amalgamating and circulating these proposals.

**Proposal Option**

Voting: Each property gets one vote. I own my house solely, and am underrepresented by our current 40% rule, and by the assumption that a spousal partnership owns a home. While the original spirit of this rule is understandable, it can become discriminatory when sole individuals own homes in my example, and in the case of a potential widow/widower populations in our community.

2 properties, 2 votes - Weekapaug currently has this application, while Watch Hill does not. I would support either of these two models.

Electronic Voting: I believe this should be implemented as a permanent feature in order to heighten efficiency and convenience for our community members, and mitigate against human error in counting ballots.

Proxy: Should be allowed for matters outside of Elections. We know who the Board candidates will be in advance, and this will not change on the floor, so should be voted on electronically by the individual responsible for that vote. For Motions that can change on the floor, however, Proxy should be allowed, with a maximum of each person voting 1 Proxy.

Hybrid Model: Should the Governor allow it going forward, I believe we should continue to operate a Hybrid model, whereby individuals can attend our meetings in person or electronically via Zoom or a similar broadcast. This encourages maximum participation, agency, responsibility and accountability on the individual to participate and vote their beliefs. Only in extenuating circumstances, if a person cannot make a meeting, will they make use of Proxy for matters outside of Board elections, for which Proxy is not allowed.

--

Livia Carega  
[liviacarega@gmail.com](mailto:liviacarega@gmail.com)  
US: +1 917 747 9630